INTRODUCTION

Carbon nanotubes were discovered in 1990s, they are like graphite sheets rolled up with fullerene end caps and there are two types of carbon nanotubes; single wall with a diameter of ≈ 1.4 nm and multiwall nanotubes with an outer diameter of 30-50 nm [1]. These nanotubes are strongest and hardest material due to the chemical bonding in their structure which based on sp\(^2\) orbital bond [2] in addition to the flexible hexagonal network of carbon atoms [3,4], while their morphology is different and depended on the methods of preparation [5,6]. There are many used methods to prepare carbon nanotubes, among them is using anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) template to deposit carbon nanotubes in addition to nanodots, nanowires, nanopillars, etc. [7,8]. Other methods of preparation carried out by arc discharge [9,10], chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [11,12] and laser ablation [13,14].

This work aims to convert the micrometer sized material to nanostructured material by a simple method. Carbon nanotubes were fabricated by templates of anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) through simple electrochemical deposition using locally available materials (carbon black) at room temperature and then functionalized them for different applications. The present method represents simple way to synthesis with excellent uniformity of carbon nanotubes obtained and the short process time compared with the conventional carbon nanotube synthesis methods, where the synthesis of carbon nanotubes by Dadras and Faraji [15] needs high energy using microwave oven and the synthesis using Ni/AAO needs catalyst to prepare carbon nanotubes from waste plastics by Liu et al. [16] and the method of Hou et al. [17] by anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) is complex method includes several steps such as carbonize organic polymer within anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) and then deposit pyrolytic carbon from gaseous hydrocarbons [17].

EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis of anodized aluminum oxide (AAO): Aluminum foil with purity 99.99 % and area of (10 cm × 10 cm) and...
thickness (0.3 mm) was used as an anode in the electrochemical cell, while stainless steel 306 L used as a cathode in 0.3 M oxalic acid. Ethanol, acetone, 3M NaOH, a mixture of (H₃PO₄ + H₂CrO₄) acids and 5 % H₃PO₄ were used as a cleaning and treating reagents in addition to electrolytes for dissolving the template after deposition of carbon nanotubes. The anodization of aluminum was done with two steps according to the literature [18,19].

**Deposition of carbon nanotubes:** Carbon electrode with a length of 12 cm and diameter of 1 cm was used as an anode in the electrochemical cell using prepared anodized aluminum oxide as a cathode with carbon nanopowder in 30 g/L of boric acid. After deposition at 1.5 volt for 30 min, deposited carbon nanotubes were filtered and washed with deionized water at room temperature. Carbon black (50 g) obtained from Babylon Tires factory was milled by planetary mill with balls for a period time 30 h.

**Functionalization of carbon nanotubes:** Carbon nanotubes (0.5 g) as nanopowder was added to 250 mL of conc. H₂SO₄/ HNO₃ with a ratio of 3:1. The mixture solution was then cooled and ultrasonicated for 30 min at 25-30 °C using ultrasonic mixing liquid (MTI corporation: USA) and then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 20 min. Then, MWCNTs were washed with deionized water and centrifuged for several times. The additional step was purification the mixture by qualitative filter paper with (0.1 µ). Finally, carbon nanotubes were collected and dried in air for one week.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of particle size of the started material (carbon black) is shown in Fig. 1, which indicates that the particle size is within micrometer. Fig. 2 shows the XRD pattern of carbon black which is a good agreement with JCPDS card No. 03-0401 for carbon as graphite with strongest peaks at 2θ = 25.9225º (002), 43.6464º (101) and 77.5845º (110). The FTIR of fabricated carbon nanotubes with two dominant peaks: 1649 and 3468 cm⁻¹ (O-H). Several peaks (Fig. 3) in the range of 3000 cm⁻¹ are attributed to CHₓ groups. The vibration at near 1593 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of cylinder like carbon structure (rolled graphene sheet). Several bands near 1580 cm⁻¹ is referred to active modes in infrared spectrum and depending on the geometry of the carbon nanotube and its diameter as observed by Jishi et al. [20].

In the FTIR spectrum of functionalized carbon nanotubes, there are two dominant peaks viz., 3483 & 1652 cm⁻¹ and another
peak at 1472 cm⁻¹ agreed with the observation of Misra et al. [21]. The peak presented at 1450 cm⁻¹ is a unique to MWCNTs as referred by Kouklin et al. [22]. The broader band at 3400 cm⁻¹ is attributed to COOH groups, while several peaks in the range of 3000 cm⁻¹ range are attributed to CHₓ groups [22].

SEM images of functionalized carbon nanotubes are shown in Fig. 4. These morphologies indicated the nanotubes after partially dissolved of AAO template which appeared as multi arrays (MWCNTs) having an outer diameter of 30-80 nm and inner diameter ranged between 8-15 nm. After complete dissolution of AAO, the nanotubes as associated here with a length of 10-20 µm. While the morphology of single nanotubes confirm the obtaining tubes with opened end not nanowires or nanorods. The EDS analysis of carbon nanotubes is shown in Fig. 5 confirmed the generation of the carbon nanotubes under experimental conditions.

AFM images for CNTs and F-CNTs are shown in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. These figures show 2D and 3D images for fabricated tubes, AFM gives information only about the length of nanotubes and approximate value of the bundles diameter. The functionalization gives more order in a carbon nanotube with less valley depth. Also, the functionalization of carbon nanotubes gave a reduction in roughness average from 2.26 to 0.162 nm due to carboxylic groups which appeared due to functionalization and by formation H-bonding, henceforth smoother surface obtained. Formed carboxylic groups gave more average diameters (Fig. 8), where average diameter was increased from 66.3 to 77.21 nm. The diameters having less than 10 % were decreased, while the diameters that more than 10-90 % were increased.
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